

CS403/SE307/CS355 - Computation and Complexity
Department of Computer Science
National University of Ireland, Maynooth

T Naughton, NUIM

<http://www.cs.may.ie/~tnaughton/teaching/se307>

Lab 4 - Decidability and undecidability - Group B - 11 Nov 2003 - Sample solutions

Question 1(i)

Proof that HASVAR_J is Turing recognisable. We construct a TM M to recognise HASVAR_J as follows.

$M =$ “On input $\langle J, v \rangle$:

1. Search through J looking for a declaration for v .
2. Where it is declared, check what value it is initialised to.
3. If it is initialised to zero, accept.”

M recognises HASVAR_J. (I.e. M is guaranteed to accept all instances of HASVAR_J and M will never accept pairs $\langle J, v \rangle$ that are not instances of HASVAR_J.) Therefore, HASVAR_J is Turing-recognisable.

Question 1(ii)

Proof that $\overline{\text{HASVAR}}_J$ is Turing recognisable. We construct a TM M to recognise $\overline{\text{HASVAR}}_J$ as follows.

$M =$ “On input $\langle J, v \rangle$:

1. Search through J looking for a declaration for v .
2. If no declaration is found, accept.
3. Where it is declared, check what value it is initialised to.
4. If it is not initialised to zero, accept.”

M recognises $\overline{\text{HASVAR}}_J$. Therefore, $\overline{\text{HASVAR}}_J$ is Turing-recognisable.

Question 1(iii)

Yes. (Because it and its complement are Turing recognisable.)

Question 2(i)

Proof that VARZERO_J is Turing recognisable. We construct a TM M to recognise VARZERO_J as follows.

$M =$ “On input $\langle J, v \rangle$:

1. If v is initialised to zero in J , accept.
2. Run J .
3. After each line of J is executed, check if v has value zero.
4. If it is zero, accept.”

M recognises VARZERO_J. Therefore, VARZERO_J is Turing-recognisable.

Question 2(ii)

Proof that VARZERO_J is undecidable. We will use a mapping reduction to prove the reduction $\text{HALTS}_J \leq \text{VARZERO}_J$. Assume that VARZERO_J is decidable. The function f that maps instances of HALTS_J to instances of VARZERO_J is performed by TM F given by the following pseudocode.

$F =$ “On input $\langle J \rangle$:

1. Construct the following M' given by the following pseudocode.

```

M' = “class Mprime {
    public static void main(String args[]) {
        int v = -1;
        J();
        v++;
    }
}”

```

2. Output $\langle M', v \rangle$ ”

Now, $\langle M', v \rangle$ is an element of VARZERO_J iff $\langle J \rangle$ is an element of HALTS_J . So using f and the assumption that VARZERO_J is decidable, we can decide HALTS_J . A contradiction. Therefore, VARZERO_J is undecidable.

Question 2(iii)

No. (Because VARZERO_J is undecidable and Turing recognisable, its complement cannot also be Turing recognisable.)