# 1 An exploration of future patterns of the contributions to 2 OpenStreetMap and development of a Contribution 3 Index

- 4
- 5

# 6**Abstract**

7

8OpenStreetMap (OSM) represents one of the most well-known examples of a 9collaborative mapping project. Major research efforts have so far dealt with data 10quality analysis but the modality of OSM's evolution across space and time has 11been barely noted. This study aims to analyze spatio-temporal patterns of 12contributions in OSM by proposing a contribution index (CI) in order to 13 investigate the dynamism of OSM. The CI is based on a per cell analysis of the 14node quantity, interactivity, semantics, and attractivity (the ability to attract 15contributors). Additionally this research explores whether OSM has been 16constantly attracting new users and contributions or if OSM has experienced a 17decline in its ability to attract continued contributions. Using the Stuttgart region 18of Germany as a case study the empirical findings of the CI over time confirm 19that since 2007, OSM has been constantly attracting new users, who create new 20features, edit the existing spatial objects, and enrich them with attributes. This 21rate has been dramatically growing since 2011. The utilization of a Cellular 22Automata-Markov (CA-Markov) model provides evidence that by the end of 2016 23and 2020, the rise of CI will spread out over the study area and only a few cells 24 without OSM features will remain.

26**Keywords**: OpenStreetMap, spatio-temporal analysis, collaborative contributing, 27Cellular Automata-Markov model

28

### 29 1. Introduction

30

31Due to the interest, motivation, and efforts of interested volunteers, 32OpenStreetMap (OSM) has become an alternative source of geodata in both 33online and offline applications (Mondzech & Sester, 2011; Neis *et al.*, 2013). 34While OSM received only minor attention in the first few years after its launch it 35now receives a substantial amount of contributions from across the world. 20 36million nodes were provided by 100,000 users until 2008, rising to over 2.5 37billion nodes provided by almost 1.7 million users by August 2014. This 38revolutionary data-gathering process continues to rise due to the rapid and wide 39penetration of smartphones, GPS-enabled devices, and the general awareness of 40citizen science projects among the population (Georgiadou *et al.*, 2013; Mooney 41*et al.*, 2013).

42

43The entire mapping process in OSM is structured in a democratic manner in 44which anyone can: (a) sign up and join; (b) create/edit/delete spatial objects; (c) 45access the entire dataset; and, finally, (d) retrieve the entire dataset history free 46of charge so that every action can be retraced (Ramm *et al.*, 2010). Additionally, 47OSM represents a rising network of volunteers shaping a community which 48intends to correct the inaccurate or erroneous contributions of others, and thus 49improve the entire data quality of OSM in a systematic way (Mooney & Corcoran, 502012b; Jokar Arsanjani *et al.*, 2014). In doing so, the OSM community is actively 51managing feedback from participants to enhance the performance of the OSM 52database, the respective image libraries, editing tools, and other software 53functionalities. To guarantee data quality, active members of the community 54closely observe and report destructive and harmful activities through the wiki 55pages as well as online discussion lists (Ramm *et al.*, 2010).

56

7

57The OSM community has been intensively monitoring data contributions in order 58to help guide the efforts of all volunteers in the right direction (Goodchild, 2007; 59Corcoran et al., 2013; Jokar Arsanjani et al., 2014). The immense benefit of such 60crowdsourced projects like OSM can be considered from two complementing 61views. First, from the end-users' perspective, the free availability of geodata 62which are not restricted through data privacy regulations is essential. Resource 63intensive cumbersome data acquisition and/or product ordering processes are 64substantially reduced which, in turn, improves overall access to the data. More 65importantly, traditional geodata are often not very up-to-date and therefore data 66uncertainty concerns arise (Pourabdollah et al., 2013). Finally, dealing with cross-67national studies, researchers are faced with language difficulties, varying object 68definitions, semantic interoperability, internal infrastructure organization, and 69different data handling processes, among others. Second, from a data provider's 70perspective, both commercial and non-profit enterprises have to deal with the 71costs and time required for data collection and attaching metadata to spatial 72entities regardless of whether they are extracted from high-resolution images or 73in-field surveying elaborated with local knowledge of objects (Haklay et al., 742010). However, due to the availability of voluntarily provided geodata the 75situation has changed radically for both parties. OSM provides large amounts of 76simply accessible geodata at a high level of confidence in its data quality whilst 77being provided at low financial and time costs (Haklay, 2010; Hagenauer & 78Helbich, 2012, Jokar Arsanjani et al., 2015). Despite some volunteers' minor 79knowledge of mapping and data collection, the gathered information from them

80comprises new spatial objects and attributes that may never have existed in 81traditional databases, as empirically proven by Haklay *et al.*, (2010), Neis and 82Zipf (2012), and Neis *et al.*, (2013), among other studies. The importance of 83collecting VGI in developing countries is particularly important. As seen in the 84response to Haiti's earthquake and the Philippines' Typhoon (Zook *et al.*, 2010; 85Yates & Paquette 2011; Roche *et al.*, 2011) timely up-to-date and geographically 86complete data coverage is available very quickly.

### 87

88Although considerable research has been carried out on the topic of OSM data 89quality issues (Haklay & Weber, 2008; Haklay, 2010; Girres & Touya, 2010; 90Helbich *et al.*, 2012; Barron *et al.*, 2013; Jokar Arsanjani *et al.*, 2013a), less 91attention (Neis *et al.*, 2013; Corcoran *et al.*, 2013; Jokar Arsanjani *et al.*, 2014) 92has been paid to the spatio-temporal evolution of OSM. This is of great 93importance because if the degree of evolution in a specific area is high it is more 94likely to receive more reliable information. This is because more users are 95involved in the mapping process and, therefore, increase the control mechanism 96in the sense of Linus' Law (Haklay *et al.*, 2010; Hardy *et al.*, 2012) which exist in 97volunteered geographic information (VGI) data collection. Linus' Law expects 98that the more edits contributed by mappers on OSM features the larger the 99increase in the data quality. Additionally, it is vital for the existing OSM 100community to know in which direction OSM is headed. Is it failing to maintain 101people's interest in contributing or is it continuing to attract more contributors 102and contributions leading to a richer and more accurate dataset.

### 103

104The evolution of OSM in space and time is highly relevant as it can provide 105knowledge of how OSM might emerge in the future. It allows estimations to be 106made about the future data quality for certain areas which is of interest to OSM- 107dependent applications (e.g., OpenRouteService, OSM-3D, OpenMapSurfer) 108which this may impact. These estimations can obscure insights into how OSM, as 109a dynamic human-based system, functions and where and when OSM attracts 110people to contribute and which spatial features attract people's attention. A few 111investigations on monitoring the spatio-temporal evolution of OSM network have 112been carried out. For example, while Neis et al. (2012; 2013) consider the 113amount of nodes, ways, and relations to measure the development of OSM in a 114simplistic descriptive manner, Corcoran et al. (2013) propose two concepts i.e., 115*exploration* and *densification* for distinguishing between the types of on-going 116activities in OSM. In contrast to Neis et al. (2012; 2013), Jokar Arsanjani et al. 117(2014) project the geometry of contributions into a cellular grid and apply a 118cellular automata approach to monitor the spatiotemporal evolutionary patterns 119of OSM in a case-study area in Germany. A more practical and effective 120approach, which considers other criteria in addition to geometry and quantity of 121the contributions to OSM, is urgently required to allow for better quantitative and 122qualitative indications of activities in OSM to be determined.

123

124In the current research, following Jokar Arsanjani *et al.* (2014), collaborative 125contribution to a project such as OSM is considered as a spatio-temporally 126explicit continuous and dynamic process. Thus, the OSM contributors are the 127actors, who are interactively contributing their information to the community. 128Based on the identified research gaps, the main objective of this paper is 129therefore to develop a contribution index (CI) for exploring OSM developments so 130that instead of the abovementioned approaches, an index is used to monitor the 131patterns of contributions. Additionally, this index is coupled with a CA-Markov 132approach in order to predict future OSM states over a representative study area. 133More precisely, in order to leverage the understanding of the spatio-temporal 134evolution of OSM, future states of the CI are monitored to predict upcoming OSM 135contributions until 2020 in space and time. The following research questions will 136be addressed:

137

138 • Which parameters besides the quantity of contributions do we need to take

139 into consideration in order to design a CI?

• What does the spatio-temporal evolutionary pattern of the CI in the selected

141 study area actually look like?

142 • How well does the CA-Markov model perform in predicting the future forms

143 of OSM contributions?

144 • In which areas are more contributions received? Is there any spatial
145 correspondence between the CI and land cover characteristics?

146

147The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 introduces the 148materials, Section 3 explains the methods used. Next, Section 4 discusses the 149empirical results and finally, Section 5 highlights major conclusions and outlines 150recommendations for future research.

151

152 2. Materials

153

# 154 2.1 Study area and data

155

156The metropolitan area of Stuttgart, the capital of the Baden-Wuerttemberg state 157of Germany, and its surrounding areas are chosen as the study area (see Figure 1581). The reasons for choosing this area are twofold: firstly, the Stuttgart region has 159been a dynamic area in receiving a large record of contributions according to the 160OSMatrix (Roick et al., 2011); secondly, this area consists of a variety of land

161 cover types i.e., artificial surfaces, agricultural areas, and forests.

162

163The data used in this investigation are the OSM features extracted from the OSM 164planet file in July 2013. The OSM planet file represents every node that has been 165hitherto contributed and shared in OSM. It must be noted that these nodes 166represent the configuring nodes of every point, polyline, and polygon feature. 167The extracted dataset contains a variety of tags including the attributes 168"*osmtimestamp*", "*osmversion*", "*osmuser*", "*osmuid*", and "*osmid*" of objects. 169Furthermore, the CORINE land cover map of the study area provided by the 170European Environment Agency serves as a second data set, representing the 171latest update of land cover types prepared in 2006 at a 100 m spatial resolution 172(European Environment Agency, 2013). Land cover features permit us to 173compute the associations with the CI (e.g., urban areas contain more points of 174interest and objects rather than agricultural areas).

175

176

177 Figure 1: The geographical extent of the study site and corresponding land cover178

179 **3. Methods** 

180

181As outlined in Figure 2, the workflow consists of two parts. While the first part 182introduces the CI, the second part is comprised of the CA-Markov model to 183predict future OSM states.

184

185

186 Figure 2: Schematic representation of the workflow

22

187

188

189Subsequent analysis is based on the premise that the whole collaborative 190contributing process in OSM possesses the properties of a spatio-temporal 191dynamic phenomenon as it started in time and retains its dynamism across time 192and space, i.e., it spreads out across space and over time. This process 193presumably has emerged since 2004 and ever since has evolved spatio-194temporally. For instance, once an object is created/edited/deleted, one 195contribution is made by a user and it is more likely that: (a) he/she comes back 196and continues his/her contribution and the phenomenon spreads across the 197network; (b) a new contributor gets involved and starts completing the 198contribution of the previous contributor(s) by creating/editing/deleting the 199adjacent objects; or finally, (c) the chances of receiving contributions from 200nearby cells are higher than from ones farther apart, because the process of 201contributing is continuous in time and space. Evidently, contributions are given 202at different rates in each area, so the rate of contributions depends on the 203quantity of existing objects and number of involved users. This is a function of 204the resident population apart from global mapping calls for humanitarian aids as 205seen in Haiti and Philippines. Since the degree of dynamism of this phenomenon 206 differs over time and location the collaborative mapping process is considered as 207a space-time dependent dynamic phenomenon. This phenomenon can be 208modeled by means of a CA-Markov model. For the application of this model, the 209shared nodes as contributions must be transferred to a grid representation to 210obtain a better abstraction of the data. Additionally, the aggregation to cells 211 reduces the data size considerably. The attributes of cells were combined and 212several classes for each variable were defined. Accordingly, the subsequently 213 introduced CI is defined to have a better translation of contributions in terms of

214quantity, given attributes, number of involved users in mapping, and how many 215times an object has been edited.

216

## 217 **3.1 OSM Data Abstraction Using Cellular Grids**

218

219In order to use a CA-Markov approach, the shared contributions must be 220transferred to a grid representation to have an appropriate abstraction of the 221data. Initially, a quantitative analysis of the amount of contributions is done to 222determine: (a) how and where the collaborative contributing has emerged and 223evolved; (b) the rate at which this phenomenon has disseminated; and (c) to 224investigate how land cover types play a role in receiving more contributed cells. 225Subsequently, the data are transferred to a grid representation with a spatial 226resolution of 100 m. This resolution, which is compatible with the CORINE land 227cover map, is selected to keep the computational tasks feasible while ensuring 228that the morphological pattern of features are retained. For the aggregation 229process a location-based join analysis is applied to transfer the attributes of OSM 230nodes to the cellular grid. Such representations are prepared for six timestamps 231from 2007 until 2012 (indicating the contribution by the end of each year) with 1-232year sequences.

233

### **3.2 The Development of a Contribution Index**

235

236While previous investigations only measured the degree of activities in OSM by 237simply counting the number of nodes, roads, users, relations, and attributes 238separately (e.g., Neis *et al.*, 2013), this study developed a CI which holistically 239quantifies the activities in OSM. The CI is based on the assumption that the 240amount of contributions per cell *i* is a function *f* of some existing measures such 241as the total number of given nodes (TNN), the mean number of the attributes 242(MNA), the number of contributing users (NCU), and the mean version number of 243nodes (MVN). Accordingly, four variables (i.e., "quantity", "interactivity", 244"semantic", and "attractivity" (the ability to attract contributors)) are derived to 245categorize the contributions into categories. While quantity counts the number of 246nodes given in each cell, interactivity averages "*osmversion*" per cell which 247determines how many times a node has been edited. *Semantic* indicates how 248well the nodes within each cell are attributed, i.e., how many nodes are given 249attributes per individual cell on average. Attractivity is based on the number of 250users that have edited the nodes within each cell.

251

252 Cl<sub>i</sub> = f (nodes\_count<sub>i</sub>, osmversion<sub>i</sub>, number of attributes<sub>i</sub>, number of osmusers<sub>i</sub>)
253

254

255It is assumed that the degree of contributing within a cell is higher if certain 256conditions are met such as: (a) contributions are given semantic information i.e., 257the nodes are given attributes, so if the mean number of attributes per cell is 258100%, every feature possesses at least an attribute. Cells with values of 0% 259contain no attributes for the contained features, therefore contributions, which 260are not given any attributes to describe them, lack of sufficient semantic 261description; (b) high quantity of contributions i.e., the number of nodes per cell 262identifies how densely the objects represented; (c) high attractivity per each cell 263i.e., number of "osmusers" attracted per cell determines that how many users 264have been contributing in each cell, so the more users are involved, the more 265reliable the contributions within a cell are likely to be; and (d) likewise, high 266interactivity within each cell i.e., "osmversion" indicates how many times each 267individual node has been edited, so higher "*osmversion*" numbers show that 268frequent activities have taken place in each particular cell.

269

270In order to calculate the CI on the basis of the aforementioned variables, a 271characterization of the map patterns are required. A widely used statistic to 272detect locations of high and low values, among others, is the local G\*-statistic 273(Getis & Ord, 1995). In accordance to Getis and Ord (1995), it is referred to a 274hot/cold spot as cells with high/low values which are surrounded by cells with 275high/low values, respectively. In addition to hot and cold spots, two other 276categories are considered that include cells placed between cold and hot spots 277and also the cells that have received zero contributions in the four following 278categories:

279

A dead cell (DC) is a cell that no contribution is given to; therefore all
variables have no value.

A barely contributed cell (BCC) is a cell that falls into the category of cold
spots, which means it contains the lowest bands of each variable i.e.,
minimum number of nodes with low values of interactivity, semantic, and
attractivity.

A fairly contributed cell (FCC) is a cell that falls neither into a cold nor hot
spot category. This means that it contains a moderate amount of nodes
(less than 100 nodes) with average values of interactivity, semantic, and
attractivity.

A highly contributed cell (HCC) is a cell that is highlighted as a hot spot and
 contains the highest values for each variable, i.e., above average number of
 nodes (above 100 nodes) with high values of interactivity, semantic, and
 attractivity.

294

### 295 3.3 The Cellular Automata-Markov approach

296

297Finally, the cell-based CI is projected to future years through a CA-Markov model 298representing a frequently employed predictive modeling technique (e.g., Batty 2991999; Jokar *et al.*, 2011; Spicer *et al.*, 2012). It benefits from a multi-criteria 300evaluation function which combines cellular automata (CA) and Markov Chain 301models (Eastman 2012). While a Markov chain model quantifies transition 302probabilities of multiple classes of thematic maps, the CA model allocates the 303predicted quantity of fluctuations over the space for a certain period of time 304through the probabilistic measures. Since the Markov chain model itself does not 305generate spatial outputs, the model must be combined with a spatially explicit 306approach (Peterson *et al.*, 2009; Guan *et al.*, 2011). Due to the conceptual 307simplicity of the CA, it has been utilized for modeling a variety of dynamic 308phenomena, including land-use/land-cover changes (e.g., Mitsova *et al.*, 2011), 309fire spread (e.g., Stambaugh & Guyette, 2008), disease dissemination (e.g., 310González *et al.*, 2013), and social changes and dynamics (e.g., Dabbaghian *et al.*, 3112011).

### 312

313The advantages of both models are integrated into a single and robust modeling 314technique called the CA-Markov model by quantifying the probabilities of 315phenomenon dynamism via the Markov chain model and allocating the estimated 316changes through CA to predict the future evolution (Zhou *et al.*, 2012). The CA-317Markov model is founded on an initial distribution of the dynamic phenomenon 318and a transition matrix, assuming that past driving forces will also operate in the 319future (Mondal & Southworth, 2010). Several empirical studies have confirmed 320the power of CA-Markov models (Kamusoko *et al.*, 2009; Jokar Arsanjani *et al.*,

3212013c). Both aspects make CA-Markov modeling suitable for simulating the 322future evolutions of OSM contributions assuming no change in the form of 323intervention so that the contribution rate and number of involved users will 324continue to grow at the same rate as it has to date.

325

326 4. Results and discussions

327

# 328 4.1 Spatio-temporal Mapping of OSM contributions

329

330Descriptive mapping permits us to visualize the location and number of nodes 331over time. As shown in Figure 3, the early contributions were delivered in 2007 332and gradually began to grow out and spread over the whole area until the end of 3332012. Spatially overlaying these maps with the CORINE land cover data reveals 334that the early contributions were received mainly in artificial surfaces (54%). This 335means that areas with a high number of nodes delineate the artificial surfaces. 336Agricultural areas rank second for receiving contributions (35%) followed by 337forest and semi natural areas (12%). Hot spots on the contribution maps of 2011 338and 2012 roughly delineate the developed areas. From these hot spots 339residential areas (urban and rural) and road networks are easily detectable.

340

341

342

Figure 3: Spatio-temporal pattern of OSM contributions from 2007-2012344

345Moreover, visual analysis of Figure 3 in combination with land cover overlays 346reveal that the density of contributions is also increasing. This causes cells with 347higher number of nodes delineate residential areas such as urban and rural

348areas. Similarly, Crandall *et al.* (2009) and Li *et al.* (2013) remark that spatial 349patterns of Tweets from Twitter as well as Flickr photos' primarily delineate 350administrative boundaries of the United States and major roads.nterestingly, the 351number of involved users is also increasing so these trends indicate that more 352users will likely become involved (see Table 1) Interestingly the number of users involved 353is also increasing. These trends indicate that more users are likely to become involved in the future 354(see Table 1), The mean number of attributes identifies how many nodes are given 355attributes and they can identify objects. This measure also shows an increasing 356trend of additional attributes related to the contributed objects. The mean OSM 357version number shows how many times on average a node has been edited. As 358noted by Mooney and Corcoran (2014), a higher number of *osmversion* describes 359that the object has been modified more than once and therefore the uncertainty 360on the location and attribute of the object decreases.

361

362 Table 1: Descriptive statistics of the contributions and contributors from 2005-

363 2012
364
365
366
367 Figure 4: Regression results of selected temporal OSM trends
368
369Using univariate regression. Figure 4 relates selected OSM sharasterior

369Using univariate regression, Figure 4 relates selected OSM characteristics (e.g., 370the number of nodes) to the yearly timestamps. The coefficients of determination 371( $R^2$ s) show a fairly good fit, in particular the variables "number of contributing 372users" as well as "number of contributed cells" achieve  $R^2$ s of 93 and 95%, 373respectively. In combination with Table 1 and Figure 4, the following conclusions 374can be drawn: Number of nodes: Although there were few nodes until 2008, the number
 has been constantly increasing. The regression depicts a sharply
 increasing rate of receiving contributions and its trend has been
 exponentially increasing from 2010 onwards.

Number of contributed cells: Supported by the significant regression
 parameters, the cellular abstraction of contributions also reveals that the
 rate of receiving contributions in both forms – nodes and cells – has been
 increasing.

Analysis of attributes (mean and standard deviation) also proves that over
 time, objects receive more attributes than before. Although this dropped
 sharply in 2011, thereafter it started to increase. This means that the
 contributions in 2011 had a reduced number of attributes relative to the
 other years and this could be due to a new wave of users that did not add
 attributes to their contributions.

Version of contributions: the mean version of contributions increased from
1 (only edited by one user) in 2007 to almost 2 in 2012. This means that
on average the objects were edited either by 2 users or within 2 editing
sessions by a single user.

394 Figure 4 (bottom) also displays an increasing rate of involved users 395 involved in the mapping. The relatively high  $R^2$  of approximately 95% 396 demonstrates that despite a slow rate of gaining users the number of 397 involved users is constantly rising indicating that OSM will continue to grow for the foreseeable future. Furthermore, along with the increase in 398 399 number of users, the rate of nodes per person has been constantly increasing. Over time number of nodes, number of users and their share in 400 mapping has been increasing, i.e., the users are mapping more than 401

44 45

402 before. This might be interpreted as the more users map the more skilled
403 they become; however this assumption must be practically tested and is
404 beyond the scope of this paper.

A close observation of the contributing users between 2010 and 2012
shows that those 884 users from 2011 continued their contributions along
with the 284 new users in 2012. Similarly, the 622 users of 2010
continued their contributions along with the 262 new users in 2011.

409

410To sum up, the statistical analysis indicates a promising outlook in terms of OSM 411receiving further contributions. In other words, OSM is becoming more popular 412amongst people and it is very likely to continue its success into the future.

413

### 414 4.2 Contribution Index Analysis

415

416In order to translate each category of the CI into the four indicators (i.e., 417quantity, interactivity, semantic, and attractivity), the fluctuations of each 418variable per each CI category is calculated through a zonal statistic. Table 2 419depicts the variations for each variable per CI category. The mentioned indicators 420and thresholds can be used as a rough explanation for calculation of CI for other 421areas.

422

423 Table 2: Quantification of OSM contributions into four indicators

424

425

426

427 Figure 5: Patterns of CI from 2007 to 2012

428

47

429The resulting CI maps are shown in Figure 5 displaying that the majority of cells 430were either dead or barely contributed cells. Since 2009, fairly and highly 431contributed cells appeared and then began to spread out over the study area. A 432major change to the cells in the study area is evident from 2010. Between 2011 433and 2012, a large number of dead cell were converted to fairly and highly 434contributed cells so that only a small number of dead cells remain. This could 435possibly be the aftermath of mapping parties' calls that were sent out in 2011 436and 2012 (see <u>http://wiki.openstreetmap.org/wiki/Stuttgart/Stammtisch#</u>). 437Likewise, these findings are supported by Figure 6 which indicates that the 438number of dead cells has been dramatically decreasing in favor of other 439categories, whilst fairly and highly contributed cells have taken bigger 440proportions since 2011.

441

442

443

444 Figure 6: Development trend of CI over time in terms of number of cells in each445 CI category

446

# 447 **4.3 CA-Markov: implementation, validation and prediction**

448

449In accordance with Figure 2, to predict future CI patterns the CA-Markov model is 450set up. To determine the most appropriate transition rules, neighborhood 451definition, and kernel size as well as to evaluate the model's performance, the 452model is applied using the past data for the years 2010, 2011, and 2012 in an 453iterative manner until the associated transition rules resulted in highly correlated 454outputs compared to the actual reality represented through the latest available 455timestamp. The CI maps of 2010 and 2011 are imported into the CA-Markov

456model in order to simulate one timestamp after that, 2012. The actual CI map of 4572012 is used to evaluate the performance of the CA-Markov model using the 458Kappa statistic. While several modeling parameters were tested, the most 459optimal match was achieved at iteration number of 42 using a 3×3 kernel size 460and a von Neumann neighborhood definition which yielded an overall 68.3% 461Kappa index of agreement. This indicates a substantial level of agreement 462between the simulated map and actual map according to Landis and Koch 463(1997). Figure 7 displays the resultant predicted map of 2012 as well as the 464actual map of 2012 for a better visual comparison.

465

466

467Figure 7: The actual (left) and the predicted map (right) of the CI for the year 4682012 by CA-Markov model

469

470

471Finally, the characteristics of the best calibrated model in terms of kernel size, 472neighborhood function, and number of iterations are employed to simulate the 473upcoming OSM contributions for the years 2016 and 2020. Figure 8 illustrates the 474spatial pattern of the predicted CI maps. The predicted maps of CI in 2016 and 4752020 disclose that the fairly and highly contributed classes with 35% of areal 476coverage will distinctly take over the dead cells and barely contributed cells with 47775% and 90% coverage, respectively which cover artificial surfaces as well as 478forest and agricultural areas. The remaining barely contributed areas will cover 479partially the forest areas on the south-west part of the study area. This could be 480either because this land use type is not interesting enough to receive enough 481contribution or there are not many objects in these cells requiring mapping.

483edges of farming lands (i.e., features represented as polygons) are considered. 484The areas covered by polygons are not considered.

485

486

487 Figure 8: Predicted maps of CI for 2016 (left) and 2020 (right)

488

489

490It should be mentioned that the resultant prediction patterns are based on the 491temporal growth of OSM and no potential driving factor is considered. 492Considering additional factors will most likely result in both different outputs and 493prediction patterns. Such a prediction approach permits researchers to gain an 494overall impression on the possible future patterns of OSM dissemination. The 495patterns of predicted maps show a converged form which is due to essence of 496CA-Markov model as noted by Eastman (2012).

497

498

499

# 500 **5. Conclusions**

501

502Multiple objectives were considered in this research to (i) evaluate the trend of 503collaborative contributions to the OSM project over time and space on the basis 504of a grid representation within a sample study area, (ii) to develop a CI for 505indicating several aspects of contributions to OSM such as quantity, attractivity 506(how many users are active in a cell), semantic, and interactivity, (iii) predict the 507future status of contributions based on the CI in order to gain some insight 508regarding which direction the OSM project is heading in the future. The greater 509urban area of Stuttgart, Germany, which contains both urban and rural areas,

510was selected as a case-study. In order to develop a CI, in addition to number of 511nodes per cell, other variables such as average "osmversion", average number of 512users and number of attributes within each cell were considered. The 513combination of these four variables as well as applying G\*-statistics has allowed 514us to define four different categories of CI. These four categories are named as 515follows: a) dead cells in which no nodes exist; b) barely contributed cells in which 516the number of nodes is relatively low (2-10 nodes) and the contributions have 517been edited a few times and shared by a few users with minimum attributes; c) 518fairly contributed cells are those which contain up to 100 nodes contributed cells 520are those which contain the most number of nodes (above 100 nodes) and are 521edited frequently amongst a high number of users.

### 522

523The projection of Cl in a spatio-temporal framework allows us to study the past 524contribution trends and also to simulate the future OSM contribution patterns of 525the Cl through a CA-Markov model. The results reveal that the rate at which OSM 526is receiving contributions from users has been constantly increasing and is 527continuing to grow. Furthermore, the number of users and the number of given 528attributes have also been growing. This includes an increase in the number of 529contributions. The Cl maps for historic timestamps also confirm our claim that 530these cells are being more actively contributed to. The simulated maps of 2016 531and 2020 in addition to the qualitative measures of the Cl indicate that a 532considerable amount of cells (up to 90%) will turn to fairly- and highly-533contributed by these times. This could provide us with better data quality 534measures by minimizing the "long tail" effect. In other words contributions will 536the strength of the collaborative mapping efforts of the OSM community.

61

537

538Currently there is a lack of empirical studies which investigate the characteristics 539of future contributions to OSM. In this regard the findings of our study certainly 540enhance the literature on OSM in a number of ways. Firstly, as shown in the 541 results section, increasing numbers of people have been drawn gradually to 542OSM. Within the first three years of the launch of OSM very few contributions 543were provided. However since then an exponential rate of contributions have 544been received. Secondly, a spatial and temporal dependency between the 545contributions' characteristics (e.g., object type, quantity, number of involved 546users, version number) and physical characteristics exist. This has been 547demonstrated by considering the CORINE land cover map. In general, artificial 548surfaces are mapped earlier and in greater frequency than agricultural areas and 549forest/semi-natural areas. There are a number of reasons for this including: (a) 550the objects in such land-types are not always evenly distributed across regions; 551(b) less people are interactively involved with these feature types; (c) these land 552types change very slowly over a short period of time; and (d) many contributors 553might not know very much about these objects as they are not public places and 554often only the routes through them are mapped by users. The findings and 555 results of the 2016 and 2020 simulation maps reveal that more users will 556contribute by creating/editing more objects containing an increasing number of 557attributes. It has been shown that these objects will then be revised by more 558users resulting in very few cells remaining unmapped or barely contributed. A 559valuable research finding from this work for OSM communities and OSM end-560users is that in the next few years there will be many more contributions to OSM. 561Many more users will become involved and their contributions will have more 562attributes which will be revised and edited by a greater number of users.

563

564Although our empirical findings are extracted from a specific case study this 565research has demonstrated that the characteristics of contributions are related 566to socio-economic and physical factors. It is of great research importance to 567 investigate their relationship to how OSM is disseminated. Other issues including 568how bulk import of official data integrate with OSM data will allow for the 569development of a more extensive contribution index as part of our future work. It 570must be noted that the individuals, as mappers, have substantial influence over 571most OSM contributions. As OSM and similar projects are growing and being 572disseminated remarkably guickly further studies on understanding the behavior 573of these individuals in collaborative projects are required. These studies will need 574to investigate technological developments which make these projects more 575attractive and user-friendly. Therefore, as individuals are the main actors in such 576phenomenon, individuals-based modeling techniques such as agent-based 577modeling might be an alternative technique to simulate user contributions. 578Furthermore, considering contributions based on which object they represent 579e.g., buildings, roads, etc. may be beneficial to study the spatiotemporal patterns 580of OSM contributions in a more effective manner.

581

### 582Acknowledgments:

583The authors acknowledge the constructive comments of the three anonymous 584reviewers and the editor-in-chief all of which have helped to improve the article. 585Jamal Jokar Arsanjani was funded by the Alexander von Humboldt foundation.

586

### 587**References:**

588Balzter, H., Braun, P. W., & Köhler, W. (1998). Cellular automata models for
vegetation dynamics. Ecological Modelling, 107(2-3), 113-125.

590Barron, C., Neis, P. and Zipf, A. (2013). A Comprehensive Framework for Intrinsic591 OpenStreetMap Quality Analysis. Transactions in GIS.

592 doi: 10.1111/tgis.12073

593Batty, M., Xie, Y., and Sun, Z. (1999). Modeling urban dynamics through GIS-

594 based cellular automata. Computers, Environment and Urban Systems,595 23(3), 205–233.

596Chilton, S. (2009). Crowdsourcing is radically changing the geodata landscape:

597 case study of OpenStreetMap. In Proceedings of the UK 24<sup>th</sup> International

598 Cartography Conference, Santiago, Chile, 15–21 November 2009.

599Corcoran, P., Mooney, P., & Bertolotto, M. (2013). Analysing the growth of

600 OpenStreetMap networks. Spatial Statistics, 3(0), 21–32.

601 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.spasta.2013.01.002

602Dabbaghian, V., Spicer, V., Singh, S. K., Borwein, P., & Brantingham, P. (2011).

The social impact in a high-risk community: A cellular automata model.

Journal of Computational Science, 2(3), 238–246.

605 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jocs.2011.05.008

606Eastman, J. R. (2012). IDRISI Selva, guide to GIS and remote processing. Guide to

607 GIS and Remote Processing. Worcester: Clark University.

608European Environment Agency (2013), Mapping Guide for a European Urban

609 Atlas, Retrieved from http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/data/urban-

610 <u>atlas</u>.

611Georgiadou, Y., Lungo, J. H., & Richter, C. (2013). Citizen sensors or extreme

612 publics? Transparency and accountability interventions on the mobile

613 geoweb. International Journal of Digital Earth, 1–18.

614Girres, J.-F. and Touya, G. (2010). Quality assessment of the French

615 OpenStreetMap dataset. Transactions in GIS, 14, (4), pp. 435-459.

616González, R. E. R., Coutinho, S., Dos Santos, R. M. Z., & De Figueirêdo, P. H.

617 (2013). Dynamics of the {HIV} infection under antiretroviral therapy: A

618 cellular automata approach. Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its

619 Applications, 392(19), 4701–4716.

620Goodchild, M. F. (2007). Citizens as sensors: The world of volunteered geography. 621 GeoJournal, 69(4), 211–221.

622Goodchild, M. F., & Li, L. (2012). Assuring the quality of volunteered geographic623 information. Spatial statistics, 1, 110-120.

624Goodchild, M. F., and Glennon, J. A. (2010). Crowdsourcing geographic

625 information for disaster response: a research frontier. International Journal of

626 Digital Earth, 3(3), 231–241.

627Guan, D., Li, H., Inohae, T., Su, W., Nagaie, T., & Hokao, K. (2011). Modeling urban

628 land use change by the integration of cellular automaton and Markov model.

629 Ecological Modelling, 222(20-22), 3761–3772.

630 doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2011.09.009

631Hagenauer, J. and Helbich, M. (2012): Mining urban land use patterns from

632 volunteered geographic information using genetic algorithms and artificial

633 neural networks. International Journal of Geographical Information Science
634 (IJGIS). 26(6), 963-982. DOI:10.1080/13658816.2011.619501.

635Haklay, M. (2010). How good is volunteered geographical information? A

636 comparative study of OpenStreetMap and Ordnance Survey datasets.

637 Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design, 37(4), 682-703.

638Haklay, M. and Weber, P., (2008). OpenStreetMap: user-generated street maps.639 IEEE Pervasive Computing, 7, 12–18.

640Haklay, M. M., Basiouka, S., Antoniou, V., & Ather, A. (2010). How many

volunteers does it take to map an area well? The validity of Linus' law to

volunteered geographic information. Cartographic Journal, 47(4), 315-322.

643Haklay, M., Singleton, A., Parker, C., (2008). Web mapping 2.0: the

644 Neogeography of the geoweb. Geography Compass 2 (6), 2011–2039.

645Hardy, D., Frew, J., Goodchild, M.F (2012). Volunteered Geographic Information

646 Production as a Spatial Process. International Journal of Geographical

647 Information Science 26(7):1191–1212.

648Helbich, M., Amelunxen, C., Neis, P., & Zipf, A., (2012). Comparative Spatial

649 Analysis of Positional Accuracy of OpenStreetMap and Proprietary Geodata.

650 In Jekel T, Car A, Strobl J and Griesebner G: Geospatial Crossroads @

651 GI\_Forum '12. Proceedings of the Geoinformatics Forum Salzburg.

652 Wichmann: Heidelberg, pp. 24-33

653 (<u>http://gispoint.de/fileadmin/user\_upload/paper\_gis\_open/537521013.pdf</u>)

654Huang, B., Zhang, L., and Wu, B. (2009). Spatiotemporal analysis of rural-urban
land conversion. International Journal of Geographical Information Science,
23(3), 379–398.

657Jokar Arsanjani, J., Barron, C., Bakillah, M., Helbich, M., (2013a). Assessing the
Quality of OpenStreetMap Contributors together with their Contributions. In
proceedings of the AGILE 2013, Leuven, Belgium.

660Jokar Arsanjani, J., Helbich, M., Bakillah, M., Hagenauer, J., & Zipf, A. (2013b).

661 Toward mapping land-use patterns from volunteered geographic information.

662 International Journal of Geographical Information Science, 27(12), 963-982.

663 DOI:10.1080/13658816.2013.800871.

664Jokar Arsanjani, J., Helbich, M., Bakillah, M., & Loos, L. (2014). The emergence

and evolution of OpenStreetMap: A cellular automata approach. International

666 Journal of Digital Earth, doi:10.1080/17538947.2013.847125

667Jokar Arsanjani, J., Helbich, M., Kainz, W., & Bloorani, A. D. (2013c). Integration of

668 logistic regression, Markov chain and cellular automata models to simulate

669 urban expansion. International Journal of Applied Earth Observations and

670 Geoinformation. doi:10.1016/j.jag.2011.12.014

671Jokar Arsanjani, J., Bakillah, M., (2015): Understanding the potential relationship

672 between the socio-economic variables and contributions to OpenStreetMap,

673 International Journal of Digital Earth. DOI:10.1080/17538947.2013.847125

674Jokar Arsanjani, Jamal, and Eric Vaz. 2015. An Assessment of a Collaborative

675 Mapping Approach for Exploring Land Use Patterns for Several European

676 Metropolises. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and

677 Geoinformation 35(0):329–37.

678Kamusoko, C., Aniya, M., Adi, B., & Manjoro, M. (2009). Rural sustainability under

- 679 threat in Zimbabwe Simulation of future land use/cover changes in the
- 680 Bindura district based on the Markov-cellular automata model. Applied

681 Geography, 29(3), 435–447.

682 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2008.10.002

683Landis, J.R., Koch, G.G., (1997). The measurement of observer agreement for684 categorical data. Biometrics 33, 159–174.

685Mitsova, D., Shuster, W., & Wang, X. (2011). A cellular automata model of land

686 cover change to integrate urban growth with open space conservation.

Landscape and Urban Planning, 99(2), 141–153.

688 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2010.10.001

689Mondzech, J., Sester, M. (2011). Quality analysis of OpenStreetMap data based

on application needs. Cartographica, 46, 115–125.

691Mooney, P., & Corcoran, P. (2014). Analysis of Interaction and Co-editing Patterns

amongst OpenStreetMap Contributors. *Transactions in GIS*,18(5), 633–659.

693Mooney, P. and Corcoran, P. (2012b). Characteristics of Heavily Edited Objects in 694 OpenStreetMap. Future Internet, 4(1), 285-305.

695Mooney, P., & Corcoran, P. (2013). Has OpenStreetMap a role in Digital Earth

applications? International Journal of Digital Earth, 1–20. DOI:

69710.1080/17538947.2013.781688

698Mooney, P., Corcoran, P. and Ciepluch, B. (2012). The Potential for using 699 Volunteered Geographic Information in Pervasive Health Computing 701 special issue on Pervasive Health Computing.

702Neis, P., and Zipf, A. (2012). Analyzing the Contributor Activity of a Volunteered

703 Geographic Information Project – The Case of OpenStreetMap, ISPRS Int. J.

704 Geo-Inf. 2012, 1, 146-165.

705Neis, P., Zielstra, D., Zipf, A., (2013). Comparison of Volunteered Geographic

706 Information Data Contributions and Community Development for Selected

707 World Regions. Future Internet. vol5, pp. 282-300.

708Neis, P., Zielstra, D., (2014). Recent Developments and Future Trends in

709 Volunteered Geographic Information Research: The Case of OpenStreetMap.

710 Future Internet, 6, 76-106.

711OpenStreetMap (2013). OSMstats (retrieved from

712 http://osmstats.altogetherlost.com/)

713Pan, Y., Roth, A., Yu, Z., & Doluschitz, R. (2010). The impact of variation in scale

on the behavior of a cellular automata used for land use change modeling.

715 Computers, Environment and Urban Systems, 34(5), 400-408.

716Peterson, L. K., Bergen, K. M., Brown, D. G., Vashchuk, L., & Blam, Y. (2009).

717 Forested land-cover patterns and trends over changing forest management

ras in the Siberian Baikal region. Forest Ecology and Management, 257(3),

719 911-922. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2008.10.037

720Pourabdollah, A., Morley, J., Feldman, S., Jackson, M., (2013). Towards an

721 Authoritative OpenStreetMap: Conflating OSM and OS OpenData National

722 Maps' Road Network. ISPRS Int. J. Geo-Inf. 2(3), 704-728.

83

723Ramm, F., Topf, J., & Chilton, S. (2010). OpenStreetMap: Using and Enhancing the 724 Free Map of the World. Uit Cambridge.

725Roche, S., Propeck-Zimmermann, E., & Mericskay, B. (2011). GeoWeb and crisis
management: issues and perspectives of volunteered geographic
information. GeoJournal, 78(1), 21–40. doi:10.1007/s10708-011-9423-9

728Roick, O., Hagenauer, J., & Zipf, A. (2011). OSMatrix-grid-based analysis and
visualization of OpenStreetMap. In Proceedings of the 1<sup>st</sup> European State of
the Map.

731Spicer, V., Reid, A. a., Ginther, J., Seifi, H., and Dabbaghian, V., (2012). Bars on

732 blocks: A cellular automata model of crime and liquor licensed establishment

density. Computers, Environment and Urban Systems, 36(5), 412–422.

734Stambaugh, M. C., and Guyette, R. P. (2008). Predicting spatio-temporal

variability in fire return intervals using a topographic roughness index. Forest

736 Ecology and Management, 254(3), 463–473.

737Wang, Y., and Li, S. (2011). Simulating multiple class urban land-use/cover

changes by RBFN-based CA model. Computers and Geosciences, 37(2), 111–121.

740Yates, D., & Paquette, S. (2011). Emergency knowledge management and social

741 media technologies: A case study of the 2010 Haitian earthquake.

742 International Journal of Information Management, 31(1), 6–13.

743 doi:10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2010.10.001.

744Zhou, D., Lin, Z., & Liu, L. (2012). Regional land salinization assessment and
simulation through cellular automaton-Markov modeling and spatial pattern

analysis. Science of the Total Environment, 439, 260–274.

747 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.09.013

748Zielstra, D. and Zipf, A., (2010). A comparative study of proprietary geodata and

- volunteered geographic information for Germany. In Proceedings of 13<sup>th</sup>
- AGILE international conference on geographic information science, 10-14
- 751 May 2010, Guimaraes, Portugal. Available from:
- 752 http://agile2010.dsi.uminho.pt/pen/ShortPapers\_PDF/142\_DOC.pdf
- 753Zook, M., Graham, M., Shelton, T., & Gorman, S. (2010). Volunteered Geographic
- 754 Information and Crowdsourcing Disaster Relief: A Case Study of the Haitian
- 755 Earthquake, 2, 7–33. doi:10.2202/1948-4682.1069.